

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/062,655	02/01/2002	Douglas R. Domel	1006.023	4681	
John L. Rogitz,	7590 03/20/2007 Esq.		EXAMINER		
ROGITZ & ASSOCIATES			JOHNSON, BLAIR M		
750 "B" Street, San Diego, CA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
San Diego, Cri	72101		3634		
					
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
2 MONTHS		03/20/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

_		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			,655	DOMEL ET AL.				
			er	Art Unit				
			Johnson	3634				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 27 December	2006					
)⊠ This action is						
′=		•		prosecution as to the	merits is			
-,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the ap	nlication						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
_	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election	requirement					
	on Papers		. roquiroment.					
	•							
	The specification is objected to by the							
10)[_]	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	•						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) D Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTonation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 12/27/06.	O-948)	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	il Date				

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over van Dinteren et al in view of either Buccola or German 3,438,842.

Van Dinteren et al discloses a battery powered (column 5, line 32) window covering which is manipulated by an IR remote control system and which is concerned with saving power and addresses this issue by providing a well known sleep mode for the receiver (column 5, lines 43-61). Buccola also discloses a remote control unit for actuating an operator, in this case a door lock. Buccola discloses an alternative means for saving battery power which serves his receiver system. Specifically, referencing Fig. 3 and column 4, lines 22-45, a series of amplifiers 36,42, precede passage of the signals to each of a separate low frequency detector and a high frequency detector, the low frequency detector signaling the wake-up circuit while the high frequency detector signals the data receiving circuit of the microprocessor. The presence of the two frequency detectors indicates that two signals are produced. The wake up signal saves power drain and "prepares", i.e. wake up, the data receiver. One of ordinary skill in the art would have looked to Buccola to find an alternative, and superior, means for saving the battery power of van Dinteren et al and would have found such a combination obvious.

Art Unit: 3634

German '842 also provides a system that uses two signals. His IR data signal is activated by an "additional receiver". While '842 does not use an IR or RF emitter/receiver, he clearly discusses such in his discussion of the prior art and generally makes it known that he proposes a visible light signal as it provides certain improvements over the IR or RF. It would have been obvious to combine '842 with van Dinteren to achieve the power savings discussed above and to further use IR or RF.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The grounds of rejection that were to be appealed are applied and no argument relative thereto is presented by Applicant. Regarding the German reference, the rejection above was written to address Applicant's remarks directed thereto.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Blair M. Johnson whose telephone number is (571) 272-6830. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri., 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Cuomo can be reached on (571) 272-6856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Blair M. Johnson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3634

BMJ 3/16/07